



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,255	10/30/2000		Kenzou Sekiguchi	35.G2225 Div. I	3858
5514	7590	11/23/2001			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA				EXAMINER	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			DINH, KHANH Q		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2155	6
				DATE MAILED: 11/23/2001	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/698,255

Applicant(s)

Sekiguchi

Advisory Action

Examiner Art Unit 2155 Dinh Khanh

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	The second secon
Therefore rejectionallowar	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ince; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) [∑	The period for reply expires <u>THREE</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
exte appr set i	insions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate insion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The repriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
_	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🕱	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🛭	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗆	\supset they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	IOTE: The new limitations in the independent claims 29, 34 and 39 "sending a transmit request to an e-mail
4. 🗆	server and transmitted from the e-mail server in response to the transmit request" and "sending the transmit request to the e-mail server" would sequite further consideration and/or search, Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
7. —	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29-45
	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \square has b) \square has not been approved by the Examiner
_	·
11. \(\begin{array}{c} \text{N} \\ \text{11.} \(\begin{array}{c} \text{N} \\ \text{11.} \(\begin{array}{c} \text{N} \\ \tex	
	SUPERVISORY PATENT CYAMINER